

JUL 31 2006

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

PILAR SOLIMAN MANALO,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 05-73382

Agency No. A70-197-312

MEMORANDUM^{*}

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 24, 2006^{**}

Before: ALARCÓN, HAWKINS, and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Pilar Soliman Manalo, a native and citizen of the Philippines, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing her appeal from an

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

immigration judge's decision denying her application for cancellation of removal.

We dismiss the petition for review.

Manalo's contention that the agency violated her due process rights by failing to consider all relevant hardship factors is not supported by the record and does not amount to a colorable constitutional claim. *See Martinez-Rosas v. Gonzales*, 424 F.3d 926, 930 (9th Cir. 2005) (“[t]raditional abuse of discretion challenges recast as alleged due process violations do not constitute colorable constitutional claims that would invoke our jurisdiction.”).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.